

IETF Trust Call at 10:00 AM EST, Thursday, 25 November, 2009

Participants:

Lynn St. Amour	[Present]
Fred Baker	[Not Present]
Marshall Eubanks	[Present, Chair]
Bob Hinden	[Present]
Russ Housley	[Not Present]
Ole Jacobsen	[Present]
Olaf Kolkman	[Present]
Ray Pelletier	[Present, IAD]
Henk Uijterwaal	[Present]
Jorge Contreras	[Present, Guest]
Karen O'Donoghue	[Scribe]

IETF Trust Agenda

1. TLP 4.0 Revisions approval
2. E-Vote or Special Call 28/29 Dec

-
1. TLP 4.0 Revisions approval

The Trustees discussed the approval of additional revisions to TLP 3.0, which will be submitted to the Community for a 30-day review. The revisions address two issues: the elimination of BSD licensing for Alternate Stream documents,

and the addition of Alternate Stream managers to the warranty disclaimer. Ray described the changes that address each issue.

A. Elimination of BSD licensing for Alternate Stream documents,

At the request of the Alternate Stream managers, "Code Components" in Alternate Stream documents will not be licensed under the BSD License. Rather, they will be licensed on the same basis as text in those documents is licensed. That is, such licensing will be substantially without restriction, as set forth in Section 3.a.

This action requires revisions to four sections: 4.c, 8.e, 8.f, and 8.g.

*** TEXT ADD ***

4. License to Code Components.

c. License

In addition to the licenses granted under Section 3, unless one of the legends contained in Section 6.c.i or 6.c.ii is included in an IETF Document containing Code Components, such Code Components are also licensed to each person who wishes to receive such a license on the terms of the "Simplified BSD License", as described below. If a licensee elects to apply the BSD License to a Code Component, then the additional licenses and restrictions set forth in Section 3 and elsewhere in these Legal Provisions shall not apply thereto. ***Note that this license is specifically offered for IETF Documents and may not be available for Alternate Stream documents. See Section 8 for licensing information for the appropriate stream.***

8. Application to non-IETF Stream Documents

[Note: This language added to IAB, IRTF and Independent Submissions paragraphs - 8.e, 8.f, and 8.g: ***Section 4 of these Legal Provisions shall not apply to documents in the IAB Document Stream, and all references to Section 4 hereof shall be disregarded with respect to documents in the IAB Document Stream***

B. Addition of Alternate Stream managers to warranty disclaimer in Sec. 7.

This action requires revision to two sections, 7.a and 8.c.ii.

7. Terms Applicable to All IETF Documents

A. added Alternate Stream document managers to warranty disclaimer to ensure that these bodies are protected to the greatest extent possible from claims arising from Alternate Stream documents

8. Application to non-IETF Stream Documents

C. Alternate Stream License.

ii. Each occurrence of the term "IETF Contribution" and "IETF Document" in these Legal Provisions shall be read to mean a Contribution or document in such Alternate Stream, as the case may be. ***The disclaimer in Section 7.a of these Legal Provisions shall apply to the manager of such Alternate Stream as defined in RFC 4844 as though such manager were expressly listed in Section 7.a.***

*** END TEXT ADD ***

Marshall asked if Trust Counsel had a chance to review the IAB document. The reply was if the IAB document is the same as the IRTF then yes.

RESOLUTION Draft

Whereas the comments received during community review about the Alternate Streams requirements set forth in Internet drafts established a need for revisions to the Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents effective September 12, 2009 (TLP 3.0) so that "Code Components" in Alternate Stream documents would be licensed on the same basis as text in those documents is licensed

Whereas the comments received during community review identified a need for the Alternate Stream document managers to be protected to the greatest extent possible from claims arising from Alternate Stream documents

Resolved that the IETF Trust approves additional revisions to the revisions announced on 23 October to modify Sections 4, 7 and 8 in accordance with the Trust Legal Provisions dated 24 November 2009 for an additional 30 day community review.

A motion to approve the resolution was made by Marshall and seconded by Olaf.

Jorge: minor correction - change "alternative" to "alternate" at section 4.c.

Bob said it's probably a good idea to avoid doing a 30-day review for these changes and then present yet another 30-day review when the IAB document becomes an RFC.

Olaf asked if the Trust really need an RFC in this language for the IAB at section 8.e. It's good to document the IAB's opinion in an RFC regardless of whether the TLP references that document or not.

Ray said that he thought the official policy of the IAB is not the official policy until the RFC is published. Olaf disagreed saying it is the official policy as soon as the IAB adopts the policy.

Marshall asked what constitutes an official request? Olaf replied that the IAB can send a formal response to the Trust that indicates that this reflects our needs with respect to the outgoing license.

Marshall - then saying something like pursuant to a formal request from the IAB received on such and such a date pursuant to [reference]. There was general acceptance to this suggestion for section 8.e.

Bob noted that getting this TLP published is holding up the independent stream. We can't publish and make it effective until this reference is provided.

RESOLUTION

Whereas the comments received during Community review about the Alternate Streams requirements set forth in Internet drafts established a need for revisions to the Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents effective 12 September, 2009 (TLP 3.0) so that "Code Components" in Alternate Stream documents would be licensed on the same basis as text in those documents is licensed, and

Whereas the comments received during Community review identified a need for the Alternate Stream document managers to be protected to the greatest extent possible from claims arising from Alternate Stream documents.

Resolved that the IETF Trust approves additional revisions to the revisions announced on 23 October to modify Sections 4, 7 and 8 in accordance with the Trust Legal Provisions dated 24 November 2009 as modified above at sections 4.c and 8.e for an additional 30 day community review.

The resolution was put to a roll call vote.

Bob Hinden	[Yes]
Lynn St. Amour	[Yes]
Marshall Eubanks	[Yes]
Olaf Kolkman	[Yes]
Ole Jacobsen	[Yes]
Henk Uijterwaal	[Yes]
Ray Pelletier	[Yes]

The resolution passed.

Ray will work on text for release today and Marshall will send it out.

2. E-Vote or Special Call 28/29 Dec

Ray asked how the Trust would adopt this. Through an e-vote or a special call? He prefers a special call on 12/28 or 12/29.

Trust Counsel asked if this is consistent with the posted Trust procedures.

Marshall replied that e-votes have to be ratified at meetings, but it doesn't say when the e-vote is effective. How long should e-vote be open? Ray replied that there is a call next week and the issue will be continued.

A motion to adjourn the meeting was made by Bob. The motion was seconded by Henk.

The IETF Trust call was adjourned by unanimous consent at 10:38 AM.